

Announcements

- 1) Typos fixed on HW #3:
square added on 2),
indexing fixed on 3),
turn in Monday
- 2) Fix to notes - complex
inner product from a norm
with the parallelogram property

3) Colloquium tomorrow

3-4 CB 2070

inscribed ellipses in
n-gons ($n = 3, 4, 5$)

4) Midterm (in-class)

Tuesday 10/22

Definition: (Orthogonality)

Let \mathcal{V} be a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$
(\mathcal{V} is an inner-product space).

Two vectors x and y in \mathcal{V} are **orthogonal**

if $\boxed{\langle x, y \rangle = 0}$.

Geometrically, this means x any y are perpendicular in the plane they determine - provided neither is 0_v !

We say a set of vectors is orthogonal if $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ $\forall x, y$ in the set, $x \neq y$ (unless $x = 0_v$).

Theorem (orthogonality
and linear independence)

Let \mathcal{V} be an inner product space and let

$S \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ be a set of nonzero, orthogonal vectors.

Then S is a linearly independent subset of \mathcal{V} .

Proof: Let S be a set of nonzero orthogonal vectors contained in \mathcal{V} .

Let $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \mathcal{V}$ with $v_i \neq v_j$ for $i \neq j$,

and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be scalars.

Suppose

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i = 0_v$$

(i.e. $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is linearly dependent)

Fix j , $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Consider

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i, v_j \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \langle v_i, v_j \rangle$$

by linearity in
the first coordinate

$$= \alpha_j \langle v_j, v_j \rangle \text{ since}$$

$$\langle v_i, v_j \rangle = 0 \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

But we assumed

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i = 0_v, \text{ so}$$

$$0 = \langle 0_v, v_j \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i, v_j \right\rangle$$

$$= \alpha_j \langle v_j, v_j \rangle$$

$$= \alpha_j \|v_j\|_2^2.$$

Since $v_j \neq 0_v$,

$$\|v_j\|_2^2 > 0, \text{ so}$$

dividing by $\|v_j\|_2^2$

in the equality

$$0 = \alpha_j \|v_j\|_2^2,$$

we get $\alpha_j = 0$.

Since $1 \leq j \leq n$ was arbitrary,

$\alpha_j = 0 \quad \forall \quad 1 \leq j \leq n$, i.e.

S is linearly independent. \square

Definition: (normalization)

Let \mathcal{V} be a vector space over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} and let $\|\cdot\|$ be a norm on \mathcal{V} .

A vector $x \in \mathcal{V}$ is normalized if $\|x\| = 1$.

If $x \neq 0$, then

we may normalize

x by taking the

vector $y = \frac{x}{\|x\|}$.

Then $\|y\| = \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} \right\|$

$$= \frac{1}{\|x\|} \cancel{\|x\|}$$

$$= 1.$$

Normalizing a set
of vectors leaves
their span and
linear independence
properties unchanged.

Definition: (orthonormality)

Let \mathcal{V} be an inner product space. A

set of vectors $S \subseteq \mathcal{V}$

is **orthonormal** (orthogonal and normalized) if

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \delta_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1, & x=y \\ 0, & x \neq y \end{cases}$$

$\forall x, y \in S$.

If S is an orthonormal set that spans \mathcal{V} , we say S is an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{V} .

Example 1: In \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n

with the standard
inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \bar{y}_i$$

for $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n$ and $y = (y_i)_{i=1}^n$,

an orthonormal basis is
given by the standard
basis.

Observe

$$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & i=j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

and we already know

$\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is spanning.

Example 2: In ℓ_∞ ,
the space of all complex
sequences that are eventually
zero (as a vector space
over \mathbb{C}), the set

$$\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$$

an orthonormal basis
with the inner product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \bar{y}_i$$

for $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}, y = (y_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} \in C_00$.

Note that for any two given vectors, the above sum is finite and so always makes sense.

It is immediate that

$\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{i,j}$ and we already know spanning.

Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization Procedure (finite dimensions)

For the moment, consider
 $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ over \mathbb{R} .

Consider the set

$$\{w_1, w_2, w_3\} \text{ where}$$

$$w_1 = (1, 2, 3)$$

$$w_2 = (0, 2, 3)$$

$$w_3 = (1, 4, 5).$$

This set is linearly independent but not orthogonal.

Make a set of
three vectors that
are orthogonal by
using $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3\}$
as follows:

Let $x_1 = \omega_1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x_1, \omega_2 \rangle &= \langle \omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle \\ &= 13 \neq 0.\end{aligned}$$

Make x_2 from

w_1 and w_2 by

$$x_2 = w_2 - \frac{w_1}{\|w_1\|_2^2} \cdot \langle x_1, w_2 \rangle.$$

$$= w_2 - \frac{x_1}{\|x_1\|_2^2} \langle x_1, w_2 \rangle$$

Then

$$\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle x_1, w_2 - \frac{w_1}{\|w_1\|_2^2} \langle x_1, w_2 \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \langle w_1, w_2 - \frac{w_1}{\|w_1\|_2^2} \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \rangle$$

$$= \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle - \langle w_1, \frac{w_1 \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle}{\|w_1\|_2^2} \rangle$$

$$= \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle - \underbrace{\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \|w_1\|_2^2}_{\|w_1\|_2^2}$$

$$= 0$$



How to make x_3 ?

$$x_3 = w_3 - \frac{x_2}{\|x_2\|_2^2} \langle w_3, x_2 \rangle - \frac{x_1}{\|x_1\|_2^2} \langle w_3, x_1 \rangle$$

Recall that $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = 0$.

$$\omega_1 = (1, 2, 3)$$

$$\omega_2 = (0, 2, 3)$$

$$\omega_3 = (1, 4, 5)$$

$$x_1 = \omega_1$$

$$x_2 = \omega_2 - \frac{\langle x_1, \omega_2 \rangle}{\|x_1\|_2^2} x_1$$

$$= (0, 2, 3) - \frac{13}{14} (1, 2, 3)$$

$$= \left(-\frac{13}{14}, \frac{2}{14}, \frac{3}{14} \right)$$

$$x_3 = \omega_3 - \frac{\langle \omega_3, x_2 \rangle}{\|x_2\|_2^2} x_2 - \frac{\langle \omega_3, x_1 \rangle}{\|x_1\|_2^2} x_1$$

$$= (1, 4, 5) - \frac{10 \cdot 14^2}{180} \left(-\frac{13}{14}, \frac{2}{14}, \frac{3}{14} \right)$$

$$- \frac{24}{14} (1, 2, 3)$$

$$= (1, 4, 5) - \frac{1}{18} (-13, 2, 3)$$

$$- \frac{12}{7} (1, 2, 3) = (0, \frac{6}{13}, \frac{-4}{13})$$

We check:

$$\langle x_1, x_3 \rangle = \left\langle (1, 2, 3), \frac{1}{13} (0, 6, -4) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{12}{13} - \frac{12}{13} = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$\langle x_2, x_3 \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{14} (-13, 2, 3), \frac{1}{13} (0, 6, -4) \right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{12}{182} - \frac{12}{182} = 0 \quad \checkmark$$

So x_3 is indeed orthogonal
to both x_1 and x_2 .